

Refractory cardiac arrest

Claudio Sandroni

Dept. of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Catholic University School of Medicine –Rome –Italy IRC Scientific Committee

Conflicts of interest

None

Cardiac arrest: how long should we treat?

- When initial resuscitation efforts are unsuccessful, the team should decide if and how CPR should be continued
- ERC Guidelines (2015) discontinuation criteria:
 - Ongoing advanced life support
 - Absence of reversible causes
 - Asystole > 20 min.

CPR duration and neurological outcome



Reynolds J et al. Circulation 2013; 128:2488-2494



Goldberger ZD et al Lancet 2012; 380:1473–1481

Neurological outcome and CPR duration



Goldberger ZD et al Lancet 2012; 380:1473–1481

Factors for survival in refractory CA

- Secondary analysis of the ROC-PRIMED trial
 - 11,368 OHCAs
 - − 905 survived w/mRS \leq 3
- Primary exposure: duration of resuscitation
- Association tested with logistic regression models

Results

- Duration of resuscitation was independently associated with survival with good neurological outcome
- The longest observed CPR duration with good neurological outcome was 47 minutes





Reynolds J et al. Circulation. 2016;134:2084-2094

Conclusions

- Subjects with
 - initial VF/pVT
 - witnessed cardiac arrest
 - bystander CPR
- were more likely to survive with favorable outcome after prolonged efforts (30-40 minutes)

How *can* we continue CPR in refractory cardiac arrest?

- Rescuer's fatigue
- Low cardiac output \rightarrow organ damage
- Stone heart





Original Investigation

Mechanical Chest Compressions and Simultaneous Defibrillation vs Conventional Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest The LINC Randomized Trial

	Mechanical CPR (n = 1300)	Manual CPR (n = 1289)
Time to ROSC from start of manual CPR by crew		
No. (%) with data	460 (35)	446 (35)
Median (IQR), min	17 (11-25)	14 (9-21)

Rubertsson S et al JAMA 2014; 311:53-61

Contents	lists available at ScienceDirect	RES	USCITATION
	Resuscitation		and the state of t
ELSEVIER	homepage: www.elsevier.com		Market and Control of
Original paper			
Incidence and outcome of in-hospital observational study in the Piedmont	l cardiac arrest in Ita Region [☆]	lly: a multicentre	
Giulio Radeschi ^{a, b} , Andrea Mina ^{b, c} , Giacomo Bert Roberto Penso ^{b, d} , Ugo Zummo ^{b, h} , Paola Berchiall Piedmont IHCA Registry Initiative ¹	a ^{b, d} , Andrea Fassiola ^{b, e} , Ag a ⁱ , Giuseppe Ristagno ^{j, k} , Cl	gostino Roasio ^{b, f} , Felice Urso ^{b, t} audio Sandroni ^{l, *} on behalf of th	تو م م
	Non Survivors	Survivors Overall	
	N = 1311	N = 228 $N = 153$	6
Duration of CPR, median (IQR), min	26 [18,35]	8 [3,16] 24 (14–3	(+

Back from Irreversibility: Extracorporeal Life Support for Prolonged Cardiac Arrest

Massimo Massetti, MD, Marine Tasle, MD, Olivier Le Page, MD, Ronan Deredec, MD, Gerard Babatasi, MD, Dimitrios Buklas, MD, Sylvain Thuaudet, MD, Pierre Charbonneau, MD, Martial Hamon, MD, Gilles Grollier, MD, Jean Louis Gerard, MD, and André Khayat, MD

Departments of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Anesthesiology, Cardiology, and Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, University Hospital, Caen, France

- 40 patients, 5 OHCA
- Mean chest compression duration 105 ± 44 minutes
- 8 (20%) survivors, all CPC 1 at 18 months

e-CPR is resource-intensive

- Average cost per patient € 32,260
- Cost per QALY € 144,413
- Cost per QALY € 30,422 (CPC 1 only)

Buriskova et al. Value in Health 2014; A488 Aubin et al J Am Coll Cardiol HF 2016;4:698–708

Guidelines for indications for the use of extracorporeal Société française de pédiatrie (SFP)–Groupe francophone de réanimation et d'urgence pédiatriques (GFRUP) life support in refractory cardiac arrest st Société française de chirurgie thoracique et cardio-vasculaire (SFCTCV) INFORMATION PROFESSIONNELLE Conseil français de réanimation cardiopulmonaire (CFRC) Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation (Sfar) Société de réanimation de langue française (SRLF) Société française de médecine d'urgence (SFMU) Société française de perfusion (SOFRAPERF) Société française de cardiologie (SFC)

Annales Françaises d'Anesthésie et de Réanimation 28 (2009) 187–190







Extracorporeal Life Support in Out-of-Hospital Refractory Cardiac Arrest

- e-CPR based on the French 2009 guidelines
- n = 32 OHCA, mean age 43.6 ys
 - 19 (59.4%) VF/pVT
 - 10 (31%) due to intoxication or hypothermia
- 2 (6.2%) survivors

French guidelines: still valid?

• Limited evidence (2009)

- Based on expert opinion

• Predictors are those of *conventional* CPR

– Equally valid for e-CPR?

• ETCO₂

Low sensitivity in pulmonary thromboembolism



Review

Predictors of favourable outcome after in-hospital cardiac arrest treated with extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis



Sonia D'Arrigo^a, Sofia Cacciola^a, Mark Dennis^{b,c}, Christian Jung^d, Eisuke Kagawa^e, Massimo Antonelli^a, Claudio Sandroni^{a,*}

	Survivors	Non-survivors	<i>p</i> -value
Pre-arrest			
Age (years)	$\textbf{60.8} \pm \textbf{1.8}$	58.4 ± 1.7	0.21
Gender, male, n/total (%)	118/177 (66.7)	288/429 (67.1)	0.57
Cardiac aetiology, n/total (%)	135/170 (79.4)	267/399 (66.9)	0.04
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.11 ± 0.05	1.48 ± 0.06	0.003
Intra-arrest			
Shockable rhythm, n/total (%)	104/210 (49.5)	172/462 (37.2)	0.03
Low-flow time, min	28.7 ± 4.1	46.1 ± 5.1	< 0.00001
Total cardiac arrest time, min	36.7 ± 3.7	50.7 ± 7.7	0.05
Blood lactate before ECPR started, mmol/L	6.9 ± 0.8	11 ± 0.5	<0.0001

D'Arrigo S et al Resuscitation 2017; 121 62–70

Determinants of outcome

AGE

c-CPR: survival according to age



35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65 65-70 70-75 75-80 80-85 85-90 90-95

Radeschi G et al Resuscitation 2017; 119:48-55

e-CPR: age difference between survivors vs. non-survivors



8 studies, 577 patients, 2012-2015

Sandroni C. et al, 2016. Personal communication

Age

- The role of age as an independent predictor of survival after c-CPR is debated
- The French 2009 guidelines do not include age among selection criteria for e-CPR
- However, patients' age is low in e-CPR studies
 - Mean 54.3 ± 3.2 years in 39 studies (2003-2016)

IHCA survival according to age



35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60 60-65 65-70 70-75 75-80 80-85 85-90 90-95

Italian Cardiac Arrest Registry, 2016 Wang et al, Resuscitation 2014; 85:1219-24

Determinants of outcome

RHYTHM

Rhythm

 VF/pVT is a major predictor of survival after c-CPR



OR for survival after e-CPR in VF/pVT

Study name	Statistics for each study		Odds ratio and 95%		atio and 95% Cl				
	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value				
Ha 2016	2.970	0.620	14.220	1.362	0.173			-;∎	
Han 2015	0.800	0.056	11.504	-0.164	0.870				
Kagawa 2012	1.905	0.839	4.326	1.541	0.123			ᅷ╋┻╌	
Leick 2013	1.100	0.192	6.286	0.107	0.915				
Mazeffi 2016	1.200	0.164	8.799	0.179	0.858				
Park 2014	0.458	0.219	0.957	-2.078	0.038		_		
Maekawa 2013	2.280	0.413	12.579	0.946	0.344				
Haneya 2012	0.874	0.324	2.361	-0.266	0.790			- 	
Chen 2008	1.390	0.567	3.410	0.719	0.472				
	1.083	0.743	1.578	0.416	0.677			•	
						0.01	0.1	1 10	100

Sandroni C. et al, 2016. Manuscript in preparation.

Favours VF/pVT

Favours non-VF/pVT

VF/pVT as an outcome predictor: limited value in e-CPR?

• Selection bias

– Prevalence of VF/pVT in e-CPR studies = 47.3%

- e-CPR is considered late during resuscitation
 - Patients are outside the electrical phase
- Aetiology
 - Some reversible causes of prolonged cardiac arrest do not present with VF/pVT

Determinants of outcome

DURATION OF ARREST



Ann Fr Anesth Réan 2009; 28 :187–190.

Duration of arrest before e-CPR



Significantly shorter in survivors

Duration of arrest before e-CPR HR of death (multivariable analysis)

Author, year	HR	p
Wang et al, 2014	1.01	<0.001
Kim et al, 2016	1.05 [1.02 – 1.09]	0.005

Wang C-H et al., Resuscitation 2014; 85:1219-24. Kim DH et al., J Thor Cardiovasc Surg 2016; 49:273-79.



Chen Y et al Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2529–2535

Cardiac arrest duration and survival: c-CPR vs. e-CPR



Chen Y et al., Lancet 2008; 372: 554–61.

OUTCOMES

Mortality after c-CPR



Italian Cardiac Arrest Registry , 2016.

Mortality after e-CPR



n = 8 e-CPR studies, 2008-2016.

Mortality after e-CPR: major causes

- Circulatory failure
 - No recovery of spontaneous heartbeat
 - Low flow
 - Circulatory shock

Rates of weaning and survival according to arrest duration



Chen Y et al Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2529–2535

Mortality after e-CPR: major causes

- Circulatory failure
 - No recovery of spontaneous heartbeat
 - Haemodynamic instability
- Multiorgan failure (MOF)

Prevalence of AKI after c-CPR

Author, year	n. pts	AKI definition	AKI prevalence, n (%)
Mattana, 1993	56	Abrupt rise in serum creatinine	16 (29)
Domanovits, 2001	187	≥25% CrCl decrease ≤24h	22 (12)
Hasper, 2009	171	AKIN Stage 1-3	67 (39)
Chua, 2012	105	RIFLE Stage 2-3 (I/F)	33 (31)
Yanta, 2013	311	RIFLE Stage 2-3 (I/F)	59 (19)
Tujjar, 2015	582	AKIN stage 1-3	85 (43)
Geri, 2015	82	AKIN Stage 1-3	280 (48)
Kim, 2015	199	KDIGO Stage 1-3	66 (81)
OVERALL	1693		628 (37%)

Predictors of AKI: non-VF/pVT, longer duration of arrest, higher Cr on admission, post-resuscitation shock

Sandroni C et al. Min Anestesiol 2016; 82:989-99.

A meta-analysis of complications and mortality of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

Alberto Zangrillo, Giovanni Landoni, Giuseppe Biondi-Zoccai, Massimiliano Greco, Teresa Greco, Giacomo Frati, Nicolò Patroniti, Massimo Antonelli, Antonio Pesenti and Federico Pappalardo

- 12 studies, 1763 pts, mostly on V-A ECMO
- Overall mortality 54%
- Major complications:
 - Renal failure requiring RRT (52%)
 - Bleeding or infection (33%)
 - Haemolysis (18%)
 - Liver dysfunction (16%)

SOFA in e-CPR survivors vs. non-survivors



3 studies, 324 patients, 2008-2015

Mortality after e-CPR: major causes

- Circulatory failure
 - No recovery of spontaneous heartbeat
 - Haemodynamic instability
- Multiorgan failure (MOF)
- Brain injury



Lemiale V et al, Intensive Care Med 2013; 39: 1972-80

Causes of death after c-CPR

n = 86



Dragancea I.V. et al., Resuscitation 2013; 84: 337–342

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW



The rate of brain death and organ donation in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Claudio Sandroni^{1*}, Sonia D'Arrigo¹, Clifton W. Callaway², Alain Cariou³, Irina Dragancea⁴, Fabio Silvio Taccone⁵ and Massimo Antonelli¹

- 26 studies (23,388 patients)
- Brain death more common in e-CPR vs. c-CPR patients (27.9% vs. 8.3%; p <0.001)
- Timing of brain death 3.2 ± 0.4 days after CA
- Overall rate of organ donation 41.8%

Conclusions

- Refractory cardiac arrest has no specific definition
 >20 minutes is a possible threshold
- Treatment can be achieved with c–CPR but mechanichal CPR is an option
 - However, data on its use are still limited
- ECPR is probably the ultimate option for refractory CA
 - Selected population
 - Selection criteria still uncertain

Conclusions - 2

- The duration of cardiac arrest, and the consequent severity of anoxic-ischaemic injury, is a major determinant of outcome
- Age and initial rhythm appear to be less important, but there is a risk of bias.

Conclusions - 3

- As for conventional CPR, cardiac or brain dysfunction are major causes of death.
- However, they have different manifestations:
 - Cardiac dysfunction occurs as a failure to wean
 - Brain injury often occurs as brain death (x 3 more common than with conventional CPR)
- Additional causes of death include bleeding and multiorgan failure.

Thank you for your attention!

sandroni@rm.unicatt.it



Factors associated with outcome

- Pre-arrest (non-modifiable; patient's frailty)
 Age, cause of arrest, comorbidities
- Intra-arrest (partly modifiable; EMS response)
 Initial rhythm, duration and quality of c-CPR
- Post-arrest (mostly modifiable; post-resus)
 - Interventions: TTM, coronary reperfusion
 - Organ failures



Reynolds J et al. Circulation 2013; 128:2488-2494

IHCA: survival after c-CPR



Italian Cardiac Arrest Registry , 2016.

IHCA survival: c-CPR vs. e-CPR

